

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMUSSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Bol 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/675,688	09/29/2000	Ashraf A. Michail	MS1-675US	1484	
22801	7590 . 11/02/2005		EXAMINER		
LEE & HA		STORK, KYLE R			
421 W RIVERSIDE AVENUE SUITE 500 SPOKANE, WA 99201			ÁRT UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
J. J			2178		
			DATE MAILED: 11/02/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
09/675,688	MICHAIL ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Kyle R. Stork	2178

Before the Filling of all Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Kyle R. Stork	2178						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 11 October 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a N (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp	n the same day as filing a Notice o owing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	f Appeal. To avoid at ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (	ence, which CFR 41.31; or					
following time periods:								
The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.  The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO								
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have seen filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) shove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any sarned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).								
AMENDMENTS								
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because  (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);								
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or		educing or simplifying	the issues for					
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))	•	ejected claims.						
1. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		ompliant Amendmen	(PTOL-324).					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		•	,					
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).								
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	l ☐ will not be entered, or b) ☑ wovided below or appended.	vill be entered and an	explanation of					
Claim(s) allowed:								
Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-6,23-28 and 36-41</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
3. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	out before or on the date of filing a l nd sufficient reasons why the affida	Notice of Appeal will <u>residence</u>	not be entered is necessary					
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filin entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apperry and was not earlier presented.	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)	ils to provide a (1).					
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanating REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after	entry is below or atta	ched.					
11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered b  See Continuation Sheet.	ut does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	ance because:					
2. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s)  3. Other:								

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because. The applicant argues that Pensak fails to disclose an editor extension to override a selection function provided by the electronic document editor and provide a customized model for the selection function (page 10). The examiner respectfully disagrees. Pensak discloses overriding a standard authoring tool to provide a customized authoring tool based upon the permissions assigned to a user (column 10, lines 10-43). Although the customized tool may restrict original functions of the authoring tool, such as not allowing unauthorized users to print, save, or view a complete document (column 10, lines 10-43; column 8, lines 35-60), this does not preclude the customized tool from providing a user customized function.

CESAR PAULA PRIMARY EXAMINE